

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>
	10/522,618	KAMEYAMA ET AL.
	<b>Examiner</b> NATHAN H. EMPIE	<b>Art Unit</b> 1712

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(1) NATHAN H. EMPIE.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Nicolas Seckel.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 4 June 2010

**Time:** 3:00 PM

**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1, 33, and 35

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Discussed proposed Examiner's Amendment with regard to amending a range in claim 1 from "0.6 to 12" to "2 to 11" and cancelling claims 33 and 35 as portions of recited ranges would reside outside of this amended claimed range..*

**Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Nathan H Empie/  
Examiner, Art Unit 1712

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)